# **EXHIBIT 5**

Page 1 1 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK 2 MASTER DOCKET 18-MD-2865 (LAK) CASE NO. 18-CV-09797 3 4 IN RE: 5 CUSTOMS AND TAX ADMINISTRATION OF THE KINGDOM OF DENMARK 6 (SKATTEFORVALTNINGEN) TAX REFUND 7 SCHEME LITIGATION 8 9 10 11 12 CONFIDENTIAL 13 14 REMOTE VTC VIDEOTAPED DEPOSITION UNDER ORAL 15 **EXAMINATION OF** 16 17 MICHAEL BEN-JACOB 18 DATE: October 11, 2021 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 REPORTED BY: MICHAEL FRIEDMAN, CCR

1	PROCEEDINGS
2	
3	MICHAEL BEN-JACOB,
4	called as a witness, having been first
5	duly affirmed according to law, testifies as
6	follows:
7	* * * * *
8	EXAMINATION BY MR. MAGUIRE:
9	Q Good morning, Mr. Ben-Jacob?
10	A Good morning.
11	Q My name is Bill Maguire. I'm going
12	to be asking you some questions.
13	If there's any question that you
14	don't understand, please don't answer it.
15	Please just let me know you don't understand
16	the question and give me an opportunity to
17	clarify the question so you do understand it.
18	Is that okay?
19	A Sure. Thank you.
20	Q That way we'll have a clear record.
21	And we will know that when you have answered
22	a question, you understood the question.
23	Is that fair?
24	A Yes.
25	Q Now, sir, we're going to be talking

		i
1	about some of your former clients who are	
2	defendants in these proceedings.	
3	Specifically, Mr. Markowitz, Mr. Van	
4	Merkensteijn, and Mr. Klugman.	
5	0kay?	
6	A Okay.	
7	Q And there may be some other former	
8	clients who are not defendants who come up,	
9	specifically Mr. Stein, Mr. Lhote, and	
10	Mr. McGee.	
11	0kay?	
12	A Understood.	
13	Q There are other entities that	
14	you've represented; Argre and Maple Point.	
15	Is that right?	
16	MR. DEWEY: Objection.	
17	A Can you please clarify? What do	
18	you mean by "you've represented?"	
19	Q You had engagements or provided	
20	services to Argre in the past.	
21	Is that true?	
22	A My firm provided services and was	
23	engaged by our employer, as I said,	
24	Kaye Scholer. And in that context, I did as	
25	well.	

1	Mr. Van Merkensteijn, and Mr. Klugman did	
2	with Solo Capital?	
3	A Again, can you explain what you	
4	mean by "all aspects?"	
5	Q Well, let me ask you.	
6	Did you submit to your firm's	
7	compensation committee a memorandum in which	
8	you said that you were called upon by your	
9	longstanding Argre client to implement all	
10	aspects of annex dividend trading strategy?	
11	MR. DEWEY: Objection.	
12	A I'm sorry. Are you quoting from a	
13	letter or a memorandum of mine?	
14	Q I'm asking you.	
15	Do you recall saying that to your	
16	compensation committee?	
17	A I do not recall that, saying that	
18	in that conversation.	
19	Q Is it true that you were called	
20	upon by Argre to implement all aspects of	
21	annex dividend trading strategy?	
22	MR. DEWEY: Objection.	
23	A And I'm sorry. I just need to	
24	understand what you mean when you say the	
25	words "all."	

1	Q I'm asking you for your	
2	understanding, in your words, if you	
3	understand that's what you were called upon	
4	to do?	
5	A Well, since I don't have a	
6	recollection of using those words, I would	
7	say it is not true that we were called upon	
8	to use to I say "we," meaning my	
9	firm was called upon to implement or	
10	advise upon all aspects.	
11	We were asked to advise upon U.S.	
12	legal issues, U.S. tax and pension plan	
13	issues, and occasional other coordination of	
14	advice with foreign counsel and	
15	administrative matters.	
16	But I was certainly not involved in	
17	all aspects.	
18	Q Let me ask you, please, to turn to	
19	Exhibit 4480?	
20	MR. MAGUIRE: Mark 4480.	
21	(Whereupon the above mentioned was	
22	marked for Identification.)	
23	A I'm sorry. Yes, so I have this	
24	exhibit in front of me.	
25	Q And can you tell us, what is this	